IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS LITTLE ROCK DIVISION

JAMES HOMAN PLAINTIFF

ADC #135367

V.

NO: 4:14CV00505 SWW/JWC

CARL JOHNSON et al

DEFENDANTS

PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS

The following recommended disposition has been sent to United States District Judge Susan W. Wright. Any party may serve and file written objections to this recommendation. Objections should be specific and should include the factual or legal basis for the objection. Your objections must be received in the office of the United States District Court Clerk no later than fourteen (14) days from the date of the findings and recommendations.

Mail your objections to:

Clerk, United States District Court Eastern District of Arkansas 600 West Capitol Avenue, Suite A149 Little Rock, AR 72201-3325

DISPOSITION

Plaintiff James Homan filed a *pro se* complaint, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, on August 27, 2014. On April 13, 2015, after mail sent to Plaintiff at his address of record was returned as undeliverable, the Court entered an order directing Plaintiff to provide his current mailing address within 30 days, and warned him that his failure to do so would result in the recommended dismissal of his complaint (docket entry #20). More than 30 days have passed, and Plaintiff has not updated

1

Case 4:14-cv-00505-SWW Document 22 Filed 05/19/15 Page 2 of 2

his address or otherwise responded to the Court's order. Mail sent to Plaintiff continues to be

returned (docket entry #21). Plaintiff is not listed as a current inmate on the Arkansas Department

of Correction or Federal Bureau of Prison websites. Under these circumstances, the Court concludes

that Plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with Local

Rule 5.5(c)(2), and failure respond to the Court's order. See Miller v. Benson, 51 F.3d 166, 168 (8th

Cir. 1995) (District courts have inherent power to dismiss *sua sponte* a case for failure to prosecute,

and exercise of that power is reviewed for abuse of discretion).

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT:

1. Plaintiff's complaint be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure comply

with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), and failure to respond to the Court's order.

2. The Court certify that an *in forma pauperis* appeal taken from the order and judgment

dismissing this action is considered frivolous and not in good faith.

DATED this 19th day of May, 2015.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2